# Learning From Data Lecture 6: Deep Neural Networks

#### Yang Li yangli@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn

**TBSI** 

October 29, 2021

#### Today's Lecture



 $\triangleright$  Training a deep feedforward neural network

- $\blacktriangleright$  Forward pass
- $\blacktriangleright$  Backward propagation

#### **Introduction**

Biological motivation

The XOR example

connectionism

Schematic of a single neuron:



*Each neuron takes information from other neurons, processes them, and then produces an output.*

How does a neuron process its input? (a *coarse* model)

If Takes the weighted average of *l* inputs, e.g.  $z = \sum_{i=0}^{l} w_i(x_i)$ Fraining a Dee<br>
or process its input? (a coarse model)<br>
veighted average of *l* inputs, e.g.  $\underline{z} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} w_i(x_i)$ <br>
is if *z* is above some threshold

 $\triangleright$  Neuron fires if  $z$  is above some threshold

How does a neuron process its input? (a *coarse* model)

- ▶ Takes the weighted average of *l* inputs, e.g.  $z = \sum_{i=0}^{l} w_i(x_i)$
- ▶ Neuron fires if *z* is above some threshold

We call the threshold function activation function.



An artificial neuron with inputs  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and activation function  $f$ 



An artificial neuron with inputs  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and activation function *f* 



A single neuron is a (linear) binary classifier:

- $\triangleright$  When *f* is the sigmoid function, equivalent to binary softmax
- $\blacktriangleright$  When *f* is the sign function, equivalent to the perceptron -

#### Neural networks

- **►** The goal of a neural network is to approximate some function  $f^*$  such that  $y = f^*(x)$ such that  $y = f^*(x)$ .
- I The goal of a neural network is to approximate some function.<br>
Such that  $y = f^*(x)$ .<br>
The neural network defines a mapping  $y = f(x; \underline{\theta})$  and learns the<br>
value of parameters  $\theta$  through training value of parameters  $\theta$  through training.

#### Neural networks

- The goal of a neural network is to approximate some function  $f^*$ such that  $y = f^*(x)$ .
- **Figure 1** The neural network defines a mapping  $y = f(x; \theta)$  and learns the value of parameters  $\theta$  through training.
- $\triangleright$  Define **error function** that measures prediction error of  $f$ : e.g. a common error function used in classification is the **logarithmic loss** a.k.a. cross-entropy loss:  $L = y \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})$  $\hat{y} = f(x; \theta)$  is the predicted output  $\blacktriangleright$  *y* is the true output or of *f*: e.g. a<br>**logarithmic loss**<br> $log<sup>2</sup>$ Given  $P$ ,  $Q$ , distributions of  $H$  $H(p,q) = \mathbb{E}_{p}(\log q_{(q)})$ Five P, Q. distributions of  $y = y \log(\hat{y}) + (1 - y) \log(1 - \hat{y})$ <br>  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y})$ <br>  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y})$ <br>  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y})$ <br>  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log(1 - \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{\$

*A single layer of neurons are unable to approximate complex functions.* Example to approximate<br>C<sub>2</sub> L.<br>C<sub>2</sub> JO<br>C<sub>2</sub> JO

$$
\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix}
$$

### A feed forward neural network



### A feed forward neural network

In a feed-forward neural network (a.k.a. multi-layer perceptron), all units of one layer is connected to all of the next layer.



- $\blacktriangleright$  number of layers are called **depth** of the neural network
- number of units in a layer is called width of a layer







### The XOR problem



Universal approximation theorem ( Cybenko,1989; Hornik et al., 1991) A feed-forward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons can approximate any continuous functions on compact subsets of R*<sup>n</sup>*, under mild assumptions on the activation function. Cybenko,1989<br>
single hidden la<br>
ate any continumptions on the<br>
discrepance of the sumptions on the discrepance of the sum of the<br>
discrepance of the sum of the sum of the sum of

Universal approximation theorem ( Cybenko,1989; Hornik et al., 1991) A feed-forward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons can approximate any continuous functions on compact subsets of R*<sup>n</sup>*, under mild assumptions on the activation function. **1989; Hornik e**<br>en layer contain<br>ntinuous function<br>the activation<br>sigmoid activation

 $\blacktriangleright$  First proved by George Cybenko in 1989 for sigmoid activation function;

Universal approximation theorem ( Cybenko,1989; Hornik et al., 1991) A feed-forward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons can approximate any continuous functions on compact subsets of R*<sup>n</sup>*, under mild assumptions on the activation function. proximation theorem<br> **approximation theorem (**Cybenko,1989; Hornik et<br>
ed-forward network with a single hidden layer containin<br>
er of neurons can approximate any continuous function<br>
bsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , under mild assump

- $\triangleright$  First proved by George Cybenko in 1989 for sigmoid activation function;
- ▶ With one hidden layer, layer width of an *universal approximator* has to be exponentially large *More e*ff*ective to increase the* depth *of neural networks*

Universal approximation theorem ( Cybenko,1989; Hornik et al., 1991) A feed-forward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons can approximate any continuous functions on compact subsets of R*<sup>n</sup>*, under mild assumptions on the activation function.

- $\triangleright$  First proved by George Cybenko in 1989 for sigmoid activation function;
- ▶ With one hidden layer, layer width of an *universal approximator* has to be exponentially large  $\leftarrow$  More effective to increase the **depth** of *neural networks*
- ReLU networks with width  $n+1$  is sufficient to approximate any continuous function of n-dimensional input variables if depth is allowed to grow. (Lu et. al, 2017; Hanin 2018) First proved<br>Function;<br>With one hide<br>to be exponential netwo<br>ReLU netwo<br>continuous for<br>allowed to g  $\frac{n+1}{n+1}$  is sufficient to approximate a<br>dimensional input variables if <u>depth</u><br>al, 2017; Hanin 2018)

width 㱺 depth, deeter.

# **Overfitting**

Increase the size and number of layers in a neural network,

- $\triangleright$  the capacity, i.e. representation power of the network increases. the size and number of layers in a neural network,<br> **capacity**, i.e. representation power of the network increas<br>
overfitting can occur: fits the noise in the data instead of
- $\triangleright$  but overfitting can occur: fits the noise in the data instead of the (assumed) underlying relationship. power<br>noise



# Regularization

One way to control overfitting in training neural networks<br>
A common regularization approach is **parameter norm p**<br>  $\tilde{L}(w; X, y) = L(w; X, y) + \frac{\lambda \Omega(w)}{\lambda}$ A common regularization approach is parameter norm penalties  $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{Training a} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

$$
\tilde{L}(w; X, y) = \underbrace{L(w; X, y)} + \underbrace{\lambda \Omega(w)}
$$

## Regularization

One way to control overfitting in training neural networks A common regularization approach is parameter norm penalties

$$
\tilde{L}(w;X,y)=L(w;X,y)+\underbrace{\delta\Omega(w)}\blacktriangleright
$$

 $\frac{\tilde{L}(w;X)}{\tilde{L}(w;X)}$ <br>
ster regulari:<br>
oser to the explored and the exponential of the state of the exponential of the state of the state of the state ► L2 parameter regularization:  $\Omega(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} w^T w$  drives the weights closer to the origin  $\lambda = 0.001$ .  $\lambda = 0.01$  $\lambda = 0.1$ 







# Regularization

One way to control overfitting in training neural networks A common regularization approach is parameter norm penalties

$$
\tilde{L}(w;X,y)=L(w;X,y)+\lambda\Omega(w)
$$

► L2 parameter regularization:  $\Omega(w) = \frac{1}{2} ||w||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} w^T w$  drives the weights closer to the origin  $\lambda = 0.001$ 



► L1 parameter regularization:  $\Omega(w) = ||w||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k |w_i|$  drives solutions more sparse.

#### Training a Deep Feedforward Network

Forward pass and Backpropagation



## Forward pass and Backpropagation

See Powerpoint slides.

## Practical issues



#### Which activation function to use?

 $\triangleright$  *sigmoid* function  $\sigma(z)$ : gradient  $\nabla f(z)$  **saturates** when *z* is highly positive or highly negative. Not suitable for hidden unit activation. **Il issues<br>chactivation fun<br>sigmoid function of**<br>positive or highly



## Practical issues



#### Which activation function to use?

- igmoid function  $σ(z)$ : gradient  $∇f(z)$  **saturates** when *z* is highly positive or highly negative. Not suitable for hidden unit activation.<br>  $tanh(z)$ : similar to identity function near 0, resembles a linear model when act positive or highly negative. Not suitable for hidden unit activation.
- $\triangleright$  *tanh*(*z*): similar to identity function near 0, resembles a linear model when activation is small, performs better than sigmoid.  $(tanh(0) = 0, \space \sigma(0) = \frac{1}{2}).$

# Practical issues



#### Which activation function to use?

- $\triangleright$  *sigmoid* function  $\sigma(z)$ : gradient  $\nabla f(z)$  **saturates** when *z* is highly positive or highly negative. Not suitable for hidden unit activation.
- $\triangleright$  *tanh*(*z*): similar to identity function near 0, resembles a linear model when activation is small, performs better than sigmoid.  $(tanh(0) = 0, \space \sigma(0) = \frac{1}{2}).$ *tanh*(*z*): similar to identity function nea<br>model when activation is small, perform<br>(*tanh*(0) = 0,  $\sigma$ (0) =  $\frac{1}{2}$ ).<br>*ReLu*(*z*): easy to optimize (6 times fast<br>with affine transformation  $g(W<sup>T</sup>x + b)$ sigmold function  $\partial(z)$ . gradient  $\forall r(z)$  saturates when<br>positive or highly negative. Not suitable for hidden uni<br>tanh(z): similar to identity function near 0, resembles<br>model when activation is small, performs better tha
- $\triangleright$  ReLu(*z*): easy to optimize (6 times faster than sigmoid), often used





## Additional resources

Deep neural network is a relative young field with lots of empirical results. Read more on the practical things to do for building and training neural networks:

- **I** Stanford Class on Convolutional Neural Networks: http://cs231n.github.io
- ► Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio and Aaron Courville, *Deep Learning*,<br>
MIT Press, 2016<br>
 http://vision.stanford.edu/teaching/cs231n-demos/<br>
linear-classify/ MIT Press, 2016

Demos:

 $\blacktriangleright$  http://vision.stanford.edu/teaching/cs231n-demos/ linear-classify/

```
\blacktriangleright https://playground.tensorflow.org/
```